



EUROPEAN COMMISSION

DIRECTORATE-GENERAL FOR AGRICULTURE AND RURAL DEVELOPMENT

Directorate L. Economic analysis, perspectives and evaluations
L.1. Agricultural policy analysis and perspectives

Brussels, 17 November 2008
 AGRI/MK D(2008)

STUDY ON AGRICULTURAL INSURANCE SCHEMES II - QUALITY GRID

Concerning these criteria, the evaluation report is :	Unaccep- table	Poor	Satisfac -tory	Good	Excel -lent
1. Meeting the needs: Does the study adequately address the information needs of the commissioning body and fit the terms of reference?				X	
2. Relevant scope: Are the necessary policy instruments represented and is the product and geographical coverage as well as time scope sufficient for the impact assessment?				X	
3. Defensible design: Is the applied methodology appropriate and adequate to ensure a clear and credible result?				X	
4. Reliable data: To what extent is the selected quantitative and qualitative information adequate?					X
5. Sound analysis: Is the quantitative and qualitative information appropriately and systematically analysed and have the respective tasks been correctly fulfilled?				X	
6. Validity of the conclusions: Does the report provide clear conclusions? Are the conclusions based on credible information?					X
7. Clearly reported: Does the report clearly describe the problem, the procedures and findings of the evaluation, so that information provided can easily be understood?			X		
Taking into account the contextual constraints of the study, the overall quality rating of the report is:				X	

JUSTIFICATION FOR THE EVALUATION

<p>1. Meeting the needs: the study adequately address the information needs of the commissioning body and is in line with the criteria set out in the terms of reference.</p>
<p>2. Relevant scope: the policy instruments and geographical coverage as well as timeframe are in line with the criteria set out in the terms of reference.</p>
<p>3. Defensible design: the applied methodology is appropriate and adequate to provide useful results with relation to the objectives.</p>
<p>4. Reliable data: the qualitative and quantitative data used in the exercise are transparent reliable and well documented. Additional analysis has been conducted to select the most appropriate indicators and a clear justification has been provided on the choice of methods and/or data used for the study.</p>
<p>5. Sound analysis: the analysis has been performed according to requirements set out in the terms of reference. A broad range of analytical tools has been used to construct and assess the possible index insurance. This was achieved while fulfilling the necessary tasks set out in the terms of reference, resulting in a clear and comprehensive analysis.</p>
<p>6. Validity of the conclusions: the conclusions follow both qualitative and quantitative analysis based on reliable data and provide important insights into the role and feasibility of the examined tool .</p>
<p>7. Clearly reported: the clarity and style of the report are satisfactory given a highly technical nature of the instruments analysed.</p>

Maciej KRZYSZTOFOWICZ
Technical Manager