




Info day on calls for proposals 2018 in Brussels - debriefing

Anna HEDRZAK
Promotion of Agricultural Products Unit, Chafea

Number of participants 2016-2018

no of participants



2018: number of participants


on-site: **230**

web-streaming: **988** connections



European
Commission

23% of no-shows





European
Commission

Top countries

Country	#	%
Belgium	66	28.64%
Italy	31	15.27%
France	21	11.11%
Spain	20	7.66%
Luxembourg	15	5.62%
Poland	11	4.08%
United Kingdom	9	3.49%
Germany	7	3.58%
Greece	7	3.02%
Romania	6	1.62%
Ireland	5	2.32%
Portugal	5	2.66%
Netherlands	5	1.78%
(blank)	4	2.01%

Organisation type

Organisation type	#	%
Producer organisation or association of producer organisations	43	20.27%
Other	40	17.99%
EU institution	23	8.74%
Communication agency	23	9.66%
Agri-food sector body	22	10.65%
National authority for promotion of agricultural products	20	6.76%
Regional authority	17	7.68%
Trade or intertrade organisation	17	6.84%
Producer	9	4.46%
Intermediary (agent/distributor)	5	2.32%
(blank)	4	2.29%
Seller/exporter	4	1.71%
Media	3	0.64%



European
Commission

Top product sectors

Sector	#	%
Other products	43	18.86%
Fruit (fresh or dried)	17	8.73%
Wine, cider and vinegar	15	6.40%
Vegetables (fresh, chilled and dried)	8	2.24%
Dairy products (excl. Cheese)	7	2.82%
Pork meat (fresh, chilled and frozen)	6	3.05%
Bovine meat (fresh, chilled and frozen)	5	2.63%
Cereals	4	1.53%
Cheese	4	2.42%
Poultry meat (fresh, chilled and frozen)	4	1.27%
Bread, pastry, cakes, confectionery, biscuits and other baker's wares	2	1.03%
Cut flowers and plants, bulbs, roots and live plants	1	0.09%
Preparations of vegetables, fruit or nuts (incl. table olives)	1	0.66%

Evaluation

ONSITE: Agenda

	Answers	Ratio
Very dissatisfied	1	2.222%
Dissatisfied	0	0.000%
Neutral	3	6.667%
Satisfied	23	51.111%
Very satisfied	18	40.000%
No Answer	0	0.000%

ONSITE: Organisation

	Answers	Ratio
Very dissatisfied	1	2.222%
Dissatisfied	0	0.000%
Neutral	0	0.000%
Satisfied	13	28.889%
Very satisfied	31	68.889%

Match-making event

Did you manage to make interesting contacts?

	Answers	Ratio
Yes	23	51.111%
No	10	22.222%
No Answer	12	26.667%

Why not?

- too busy with the contents of the event
- few partners interested in the same sector
- I did not participate

Selected comments

Which elements were particularly useful to you?

- Examples of co-financed campaigns, lessons learned and eligibility, information about the calls, Brexit
- Personal contact with the speakers
- All the presentations were very detailed and useful. Every year is getting better.

Selected comments

Which elements were missing in the presentations of the day?

- More practical examples on how proposals are evaluated
- More detailed and practical aspect of the subjects
- Methods used by the member states for selection of implementing bodies

Selected comments

OTHER COMMENTS/SUGGESTIONS Do you have any other comments or suggestions that you would like to share with us?

- This location is better than the Borschette center.
- Maybe accept questions in writing
- Great info day - really useful.
- Organize the infoday soon after the publication of WP - important to meet new potential partners
- The organisation was perfect, regarding number of participants and availability of high level speakers. congratulations!

Additional information

- Video recording:
<https://webcast.ec.europa.eu/info-day-on-the-2018-calls-for-proposal-on-promotion-of-agricultural-products>
- Presentations:
<https://ec.europa.eu/chafea/agri/content/info-day-calls-proposals-2018>



European
Commission

IDEAS



www.agripromotion.eu

Follow us on social media: #EUAgriPromo and @EUAgri

Contact us at: chafea-agriportal@ec.europa.eu

Thank you for your attention!