Quality Assessment for Final Evaluation Reports

According to the Commission **Better Regulation Guidelines and toolbox** the Quality Assessment (QA) by the Inter Service Group judges the external contractor's report and its overall process. It is the final "sign off" by the ISG of the contractor's work and includes a judgement on whether key aspects of the work conducted meet the required standards and provides any related comments.

If the evaluation is selected for review by the Regulatory Scrutiny Board, this QA and minutes of the last ISG meeting will form part of the package submitted to the RSB.

In compliance with the above, this documents provides a Quality Assessment checklist to be completed for all interim and ex-post evaluations, in order to:

- give a structured feedback to the Evaluator on the draft report, and
- support and justify the approval of the final version of the report.
- Provide stakeholders and citizens with an overview of the strengths and weaknesses of the evaluation.

The assessment criteria included should be applied also with reference to the specific Terms of Reference for the evaluation to be assessed and specific agreements made between the evaluation Steering Group and the Evaluator during the execution of the contract.

The checklist can be quickly filled out by ticking boxes, but becomes most useful when also including comments in the open fields.

Quality Assessment for Final Report				
DG/Unit	AGRI/B2			
Evaluator:	AGRI/B2			
Assessment carried out by ^(*) :				
Steering group	[]			
Evaluation Function	AGRI/B2			
Other (please specify)	[]			
(*) Multiple crosses possible				
Date of assessment	25/02/2022			

Objective of the	Aspects to be assessed	Fulfilled?	Comments	
assessment		Y, N, N/A		
1. Scope of evaluation	Confirm with the Terms of Reference and the work plan that the contractor :			
	a. Has addressed the evaluation issues and specific questions	Y	Yes, replied in the conclusions.	
	b. Has undertaken the tasks described in the work plan	Y	Yes, good combination of desk research and analytical analyses.	
	c. Has covered the requested scope for time period, geographical areas, target groups, aspects of the intervention, etc.	Y	The study covered the minimum requirements but the extension of the analyses to other crops (fruit trees) would be desirable.	
2. Overall	Check that the report includes:			
contents of report	a. Executive Summary according to an agreed format, in the three required languages (minimum EN and FR)	Y	Executive summary in 2 languages EN and FR.	
	b. Main report with required components	Y	In addition to the minimum elements of the study in terms of	
	 Title and Content Page A description of the policy being evaluated the purpose of the evaluation, contextual methodology, etc. Findings, conclusions, and judgments for a issues and specific questions The required outputs and deliverables Recommendations as appropriate 	l limitations,	documents, essential deliverables like the base code of the application are available.	
	c. All required annexes	Y	Annexes will be made available online after the publication of the report.	
3. Data	Check that data is accurate and complete			
collection	a. Data is accurate	Y	The assessment in	
	 Data is free from factual and logical errors The report is consistent, i.e. no contradictions Calculations are correct 		terms of data accuracy is not relevant, calculation methods are accurate.	
	b. Data is complete	Y	Excellent review of the	
	 Relevant literature and previous studies sufficiently reviewed Existing monitoring data has been appropria Limitations to the data retrieved are poin explained. Correcting measures have been taken to 	existing literature and methodologies.		
	problems encountered in the process of data			

4. Analysis and	Check that analysis is sound and relevant		
judgments	 a. Analytical framework is sound Y The methodology used for each area of analysis explained, and has been applied consistently planned Judgements are based on transparent criteria The analysis relies on two or more independence Inputs from different stakeholders are used in a way Finding and the provide the provide the providence 	Benchmarking analysis of the methods implemented scientifically sound and comprehensive.	
	Findings are reliable enough to be replicableb. Conclusions are soundY	Conclusions and	
	 Conclusions are properly addressing the or questions and are coherently and logically substate. There are no relevant conclusions missing accord evidence presented. Findings corroborate existing knowledge; differ contradictions with existing knowledge are explate. Critical issues are presented in a fair and balance. Limitations on validity of the conclusions are point. 	antiated ding to the erences or ained ed manner	outlook based on the study questions, properly addressed and based on the analyses implemented. Critical limitations like the applicability in fruit trees are clearly described.
5.Usefulness of	a. Recommendations are useful Y		Recommendations are
recommendatio ns	 Recommendations flow logically from the conclusions, are practical, realistic, and addressed to the relevant Commission Service(s) or other stakeholders 		not a critical part of the study but the recommendations based on study
	Recommendations are completeY		questions are useful
	 Recommendations cover all relevant main conclu 	usions	and complete.
6. Clarity of	a. Report is easy to read Y	7	Final report is readable and well structured.
the report	 Written style and presentation is adapted for the various relevant target readers The quality of language is sufficient for publishing Specific terminology is clearly defined Tables, graphs, and similar presentation tools are used to facilitate understanding; they are well commented with narrative text 		The most technical information is presented in Annexes, as agreed.
	b. Report is logical and focused Y	7	Logical and focused.
	 The structure of the report is logical and consistent, information is not unjustifiably duplicated, and it is easy to get an overview of the report and its key results. The report provides a proper focus on main issues and key messages are summarised and highlighted The length of the report (excluded appendices) is proportionate (good balance of descriptive and analytical information) Detailed information and technical analysis are left for the appendix; thus information overload is avoided in the main report 		Good balance between the context information of the study and technical information and findings.

Overall conclusion		
The report could be approved in its current state, as it overall complies with the contractual conditions and relevant professional evaluation standards	 Excellent v very useful re	and