Quality Assessment for Evaluation Study of the Payment for agricultural practices beneficial for the climate and the environment ("greening" of direct payments) - Final Report

DG/Unit DG AGRI C4

Official(s) managing the evaluation: Caroline RAES

Evaluator: Alliance Environnement

Assessment carried out by(*):

Steering group X

Evaluation Function X

Other (please specify)

(*) Multiple crosses possible

Date of assessment 15/11/2017

Objective of the assessment	Aspects to be assessed	Fulfilled? Y, N, N/A	Comments
1. Scope of evaluation	Confirm with the Terms of Reference contractor:		work plan that the
	a. Has addressed the evaluation issues and specific questions	Y	
	b. Has undertaken the tasks described in the work plan	Y	The evaluation adequately responds to the information needs of the commissioning body and meets the requirements of the terms of reference.
	c. Has covered the requested scope for time period, geographical areas, target groups, aspects of the intervention, etc.	Y	
2. Overall contents	Check that the report includes:		
of report	a. Executive Summary according to an agreed format, in the three required languages (minimum EN and FR)	Y	
	b. Main report with required components	Y	
	 Title and Content Page A description of the policy being e context, the purpose of the evaluation limitations, methodology, etc. Findings, conclusions, and judgmen evaluation issues and specific questions The required outputs and deliverables Recommendations as appropriate 	n, contextual	
	c. All required annexes	Y	
3. Data collection	Check that data is accurate and complete	e	
	a. Data is accurate	Y	
	 Data is free from factual and logical error The report is consistent, i.e. no contradict Calculations are correct 		
	b. Data is complete	Y	The evaluators

CHECKLIST – Quality Assessment for Final Evaluation Reports

Relevant literature and previous studies have been sufficiently reviewed **Existing monitoring data has been appropriately used explained. **Correcting measures have been taken to address any problems encountered in the process of data gathering **Correcting measures have been taken to address any problems encountered in the process of data gathering **A. Analysis and judgments **Check that analysis is sound and relevant** **a. Analytical framework is sound Y **The methodology used for each area of analysis is clearly explained, and has been applied consistently and as planned **Judgements are based on transparent criteria** **The analysis relies on two or more independent lines of evidence** **Inputs from different stakeholders are used in a balanced way** **Findings are reliable enough to be replicable** **Findings are reliable enough to be replicable** **The individual framework is sound and relevant** **The methodology used for each area of analysis is clearly explained, and has been applied consistently and as planned as planned includes a variety of methods, both quantitative, to address the different types of analysis that are required to respond to the ESQs. Where judgements rely on professional judgement, this jis carried out by checking for		CHECKLIST – Quality Asse		
Relevant literature and previous studies have been sufficiently reviewed Existing monitoring data has been appropriately used Limitations to the data retrieved are pointed out and explained. Correcting measures have been taken to address any problems encountered in the process of data gathering Correcting measures have been taken to address any problems encountered in the process of data gathering A. Analysis and judgments Check that analysis is sound and relevant a. Analytical framework is sound The methodological approach combines clearly explained, and has been applied consistently and as planned Judgements are based on transparent criteria The analysis relies on two or more independent lines of evidence Inputs from different stakeholders are used in a balanced way Findings are reliable enough to be replicable Findings are reliable enough to be replicable Findings are reliable enough to be replicable The methodological approach combines theoretical and empirical approaches and includes a variety of methods, both quantitative and qualitative, to address the different types of analysis that are required to respond to the ESQs. Where judgements rely on professional judgement, this is carried out by checking for	Objective of the	Aspects to be assessed	Fulfilled?	Comments
4. Analysis and judgments Check that analysis is sound and relevant a. Analytical framework is sound Y The methodology used for each area of analysis is clearly explained, and has been applied consistently and as planned Judgments are based on transparent criteria The analysis relies on two or more independent lines of evidence Inputs from different stakeholders are used in a balanced way Findings are reliable enough to be replicable Findings are reliable enough to be replicable Check that analysis is sound Y The methodological approach combines theoretical and empirical approaches and includes a variety of methods, both quantitative, and qualitative, to address the different types of analysis that are required to respond to the ESQs. Where judgements rely on professional judgement, this is carried out by checking for	assessment	 sufficiently reviewed Existing monitoring data has been approp Limitations to the data retrieved are point explained. Correcting measures have been taken to 	s have been priately used inted out and address any	sources. The limitations of analysis related to the availability of accurate, detailed and homogenous data and the particularities of the FADN system are clearly
a. Analytical framework is sound Y The methodology used for each area of analysis is clearly explained, and has been applied consistently and as planned Judgements are based on transparent criteria The analysis relies on two or more independent lines of evidence Inputs from different stakeholders are used in a balanced way Findings are reliable enough to be replicable Findings are reliable enough to be replicable The methodological approach combines theoretical and empirical approaches and includes a variety of methods, both quantitative and qualitative, to address the different types of analysis that are required to respond to the ESQs. Where judgements rely on professional judgement, this is carried out by checking for	4 Analysis and	Check that analysis is sound and relevan	nt	explained.
multiple sources.		 a. Analytical framework is sound The methodology used for each area of clearly explained, and has been applied and as planned Judgements are based on transparent critered. The analysis relies on two or more independent of evidence. Inputs from different stakeholders are balanced way. Findings are reliable enough to be replicated. 	f analysis is consistently eria pendent lines e used in a ble	methodological approach combines theoretical and empirical approaches and includes a variety of methods, both quantitative and qualitative, to address the different types of analysis that are required to respond to the ESQs. Where judgements rely on professional judgement, this is carried out by checking for consistency across multiple sources.
multiple sources.				~
		b. Conclusions are sound	Y	The findings are

CHECKLIST – Quality Assessment for Final Evaluation Reports

Objective of the	A spects to be assessed		
Objective of the	Aspects to be assessed	Fulfilled?	Comments
assessment	Conclusions are preparly addressing the	Y, N, N/A	hagad on algority
	 Conclusions are properly addressing the questions and are coherently are substantiated There are no relevant conclusions missing to the evidence presented Findings corroborate existing knowledge or contradictions with existing knowledge or contradictions with existing knowledge or contradictions are presented in a fair manner Limitations on validity of the conclusion out 	ng according e; differences owledge are and balanced as are pointed	based on clearly defined evaluation criteria and supported by the evidence provided through the analysis. Opinions from the stakeholders were considered in a balanced way. The conclusions are substantiated by evaluation findings, which in turn were drawn from the sound analysis. Given the data constraints, they are balanced and prudent.
5.Usefulness of recommendations	Recommendations are useful Recommendations flow logically conclusions, are practical, realistic, and the relevant Commission Service(s stakeholders		Recommendations are based on the evaluation conclusions. They can realistically be considered for improving CAP policies
	b. Recommendations are complete	Y	P
	Recommendations cover all relevant main conclusions		
6. Clarity of the	a. Report is easy to read	Y	
report	 Written style and presentation is adapted for the various relevant target readers The quality of language is sufficient for publishing Specific terminology is clearly defined Tables, graphs, and similar presentation tools are used to facilitate understanding; they are well commented with narrative text Report is logical and focused 		Even though the

CHECKLIST – Quality Assessment for Final Evaluation Reports

Objective of the	Aspects to be assessed	Fulfilled?	Comments
assessment		Y, N, N/A	
	 The structure of the report is logical an information is not unjustifiably duplicate easy to get an overview of the report results. The report provides a proper focus on makey messages are summarised and highlig. The length of the report (excluded approportionate (good balance of descanalytical information) Detailed information and technical analysis the appendix; thus information overload the main report 	ed, and it is and its key in issues and thted opendices) is criptive and its are left for	structure of the report is logical and consistent, key messages are highlighted and summarised, detailed information is left for the appendix, the main report text remains lengthy.

Overall conclusion		
The report could be approved in its current state, as it	Y	
overall complies with the contractual conditions and		
relevant professional evaluation standards		