Quality Assessment for the Evaluation Study on the impact of CAP on Climate Change and Greenhouse Gas Emissions - Final Report

DG/Unit DG AGRI C4

Official(s) managing the evaluation: Andre KOLODZIEJAK and Andreas LILLIG

Evaluator: Alliance Environnement

Assessment carried out by(*):

Steering group X Evaluation Function X

Other (please specify)

(*) Multiple crosses possible

Objective of the	Aspects to be assessed	Fulfilled?	Comments
assessment	F	Y, N, N/A	
1. Scope of	Confirm with the Terms of Reference	e and the	work plan that the
evaluation	contractor:		
	a. Has addressed the evaluation	Y	
	issues and specific questions		
	b. Has undertaken the tasks described	Y	The evaluation
	in the work plan		adequately
			responds to the information needs
			of the
			commissioning
			body and meets
			the requirements
			of the terms of
			reference.
	c. Has covered the requested scope	Y	
	for time period, geographical areas,		
	target groups, aspects of the intervention, etc.		
2. Overall contents	Check that the report includes:		
of report	a. Executive Summary according to	Y	
•	an agreed format, in the required		
	languages (minimum EN and FR)		
	b. Main report with required	Y	
	components		
	Title and Content PageA description of the policy being e	valuated its	
	context, the purpose of the evaluation		
	limitations, methodology, etc.		
	• Findings, conclusions, and judgmen	nts for all	
	evaluation issues and specific questionsThe required outputs and deliverables		
	 Recommendations as appropriate 		
	c. All required annexes	Y	
3. Data collection	Check that data is accurate and complete	e	
	a. Data is accurate	Y	
	 Data is free from factual and logical error 		
	The report is consistent, i.e. no contradictCalculations are correct	ions	
		W	TDI 1
	b. Data is completeRelevant literature and previous studie	Y have been	The evaluators
	sufficiently reviewed	s nave veen	have exploited the available data
	- Enisting and the day has been appropriately and		sources. The
	• Limitations to the data retrieved are pointed out and limitations of		
	explained. Correcting measures have been taken to address any analysis rela		analysis related
	problems encountered in the process of da		to the availability
	- *		of accurate,

	CHECKLIST – Quality Asse		_
Objective of the assessment	Aspects to be assessed	Fulfilled? Y, N, N/A	Comments
			detailed and homogenous data are clearly explained.
4. Analysis and	Check that analysis is sound and relevan	nt	
judgments	 a. Analytical framework is sound The methodology used for each area of clearly explained, and has been applied and as planned Judgements are based on transparent crite The analysis relies on two or more indeposed of evidence Inputs from different stakeholders are balanced way Findings are reliable enough to be replicated. 	Y of analysis is consistently eria pendent lines e used in a	The analytical frame is sound. The methodological approach combines theoretical and empirical approaches and includes a variety of methods, both quantitative and qualitative, to address the different types of analysis that are required to respond to the ESQs. The emissions simulation exercise is very appreciated. Where judgements rely on professional judgement, this is carried out by checking for consistency across multiple sources.
	b. Conclusions are sound	Y	The findings are

Objective of the	Aspects to be assessed	Fulfilled?	Comments
assessment	F	Y, N, N/A	
	 Conclusions are properly addressing the questions and are coherently and substantiated There are no relevant conclusions missing to the evidence presented Findings corroborate existing knowledge or contradictions with existing knowledge or contradictions with existing knowledge or contradictions are presented in a fair manner Limitations on validity of the conclusion out 	ne evaluation and logically according e; differences owledge are and balanced as are pointed	based on clearly defined evaluation criteria and supported by the evidence provided through the analysis. Opinions from the stakeholders were considered in a balanced way and presented in a transparent way. The conclusions are substantiated by evaluation findings, which in turn were drawn from the sound analysis. Given the data constraints, they are balanced and prudent.
5.Usefulness of recommendations	 a. Recommendations are useful Recommendations flow logically conclusions, are practical, realistic, and the relevant Commission Service(s stakeholders b. Recommendations are complete 		Recommendations are based on the evaluation conclusions. They can realistically be considered for improving CAP policies
	 Recommendations are complete Recommendations cover all relevant main 		
6. Clarity of the report	 a. Report is easy to read Written style and presentation is adal various relevant target readers The quality of language is sufficient for prescription of the suffi	oublishing cools are used	Generally technical issues are explained for the non technical expert reader, specific terminology is clearly defined. Presentation tools facilitate the understanding.

	CHECKLIST – Quality Assessment for Final Evaluation Repor		
Objective of the	Aspects to be assessed	Fulfilled?	Comments
assessment		Y, N, N/A	
			The quality of the language is sufficient for publishing.
	b. Report is logical and focused	Y	The structure of
	 The structure of the report is logical ar information is not unjustifiably duplicate easy to get an overview of the report results. The report provides a proper focus on makey messages are summarised and highlighed. The length of the report (excluded approportionate (good balance of desanalytical information) Detailed information and technical analysis the appendix; thus information overload the main report 	and consistent, ted, and it is and its key ain issues and ghted opendices) is criptive and sis are left for	the report is logical and consistent, key messages are highlighted and summarised. A great deal of detailed information and technical analysis is included an Annexes part. The great length of the main report is due to the fact that the evaluators were keen to make sure that the majority of necessary elements for understanding the text were included in one publication

Overall conclusion		
The report could be approved in its current state, as it	Y	
overall complies with the contractual conditions and		
relevant professional evaluation standards		