

### **EUROPEAN COMMISSION**

DIRECTORATE-GENERAL FOR AGRICULTURE AND RURAL DEVELOPMENT

Directorate L. Economic analysis, perspectives and evaluations **L.2. Economic analysis of EU agriculture** 

Brussels, AGRI L.2/CM D(2009)

## Study on

# ECONOMIC ANALYSIS OF THE REGIONAL IMPACT OF THE EXPIRY OF THE EU MILK QUOTA SYSTEM

### **QUALITY GRID**

Concerning these criteria, the evaluation report	Unaccep-	Poor	Satisfac	Good	Excel
is:	table		-tory		-lent
<b>1. Meeting the needs</b> : Does the study adequately					
address the information needs of the				X	
commissioning body and fit the terms of reference?					
<b>2. Relevant scope</b> : Are the necessary policy				X	
instruments represented and is the product and					
geographical coverage as well as time scope					
sufficient for the impact assessment?					
<b>3. Defensible design</b> : Is the applied methodology			X		
appropriate and adequate to ensure a clear and					
credible result?					
<b>4. Reliable data</b> : To what extent is the selected			X		
quantitative and qualitative information adequate?					
<b>5. Sound analysis</b> : Is the quantitative and			X		
qualitative information appropriately and					
systematically analysed and have the respective					
tasks been correctly fulfilled?					
<b>6. Validity of the conclusions</b> : Does the report			X		
provide clear conclusions? Are the conclusions					
based on credible information?					
<b>7. Clearly reported</b> : Does the report clearly assess					
the effects of the expiry of the EU milk quota		$\mathbf{X}$			
system and is the reporting comprehensible?					
Taking into account the contextual constraints					
of the study, the overall quality rating of the			X		
report is:					

Commission européenne, B-1049 Bruxelles / Europese Commissie, B-1049 Brussel - Belgium. Telephone: (32-2) 299 11 11.

#### JUSTIFICATION FOR THE EVALUATION

- **1. Meeting the needs**: the study addresses the information needs of the commissioning body and is in line with the criteria set out in the terms of reference.
- **2. Relevant scope**: the policy instruments, product and geographical coverage as well as timeframe are in line with the criteria set out in the terms of reference.
- **3. Defensible design**: the model used for the analysis is adequate to provide useful results with relation to the objectives. On the other hand, a number of concerns remain as regards the methodology used to establish the underlying reference scenario used as a basis for the impact assessment.
- 4. Reliable data: the qualitative and quantitative data used in the exercise are transparent and documented. However, certain concerns remain with regard to the consistency of quota rent, supply elasticity estimates and the CAPRI model behaviour in the baseline and simulation runs.
- **5. Sound analysis**: the analysis has been performed according to requirements set out in the terms of reference. Keeping in mind that the achieved model update was a complex technical undertaking and that the simulations provide a broad set of results, the quantitative and qualitative information subject to analysis had to be reduced to the 'relevant' extent. This was achieved while fulfilling the necessary tasks set out in the terms of reference.
- **6. Validity of the conclusions**: the conclusions are based on simulated results which have been deemed feasible, even if some concerns remain for some particular results.
- **7. Clearly reported**: the report provides a satisfactory assessment of the effects of the expiry of the EU milk quota system and considerable effort has been made to make the reporting comprehensible for a broader audience.

Christine MOELLER Technical manager