



Brussels,

Study on ADAPTATION OF AGRICULTURE TO CLIMATE CHANGE

QUALITY GRID

Concerning these criteria, the evaluation report is :	Unaccep- table	Poor	Satisfac- tory	Good	Excel- lent
1. Meeting the needs: Does the study adequately address the information needs of the commissioning body and fit the terms of reference?			X		
2. Relevant scope: Are the environmental reasons for the implementation of the rural development measures as well as the description of the context for their application well examined, and the expected impacts fully analysed?			X		
3. Defensible design: Is the applied methodology appropriate and adequate to ensure a clear and credible result?			X		
4. Reliable data: To what extent is the selected quantitative and qualitative information adequate?			X		
5. Sound analysis: Is the quantitative and qualitative information appropriately and systematically analysed and have the respective tasks been correctly fulfilled?			X		
6. Validity of the conclusions: Does the report provide clear conclusions? Are the conclusions based on credible information?			X		
7. Clearly reported: Does the report clearly describe the expected impact of the rural development measures on three environmental objectives and can the information provided be easily understood?			X		
Taking into account the contextual constraints of the study, the overall quality rating of the report is:			X		