

**Pilot Project "Developing a Farmers' Toolbox for Integrated Pest Management Practices from Across the Union "**

**Quality Assessment for Final Evaluation Reports**

**Quality Assessment for Final Report**

**DGAGRI/Unit B3**

**Assessment carried out by(\*):**

Steering group  [X]

Evaluation Function  [ ]

Other (please specify)  [ ]

(\*): Multiple crosses possible

**Date of assessment** **05/12/2022**

CHECKLIST – Quality Assessment for Final Evaluation Reports

Objective of the assessment	Aspects to be assessed	Fulfilled? Y, N, N/A	Comments
1. Scope of evaluation	Confirm with the Terms of Reference and the work plan that the contractor :		
	a. Has addressed the evaluation issues and specific questions	[ Y ]	All aspects were covered
	b. Has undertaken the tasks described in the work plan	[ Y ]	
	c. Has covered the requested scope for time period, geographical areas, target groups, aspects of the intervention, etc.	[ Y ]	
2. Overall contents of report	Check that the report includes:		
	a. Executive Summary according to an agreed format, in the three required languages (minimum EN and FR)	[ Y ]	Executive summaries in EN and FR
	b. Main report with required components <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Title and Content Page</li> <li>▪ A description of the policy being evaluated, its context, the purpose of the evaluation, contextual limitations, methodology, etc.</li> <li>▪ Findings, conclusions, and judgments for all evaluation issues and specific questions</li> <li>▪ The required outputs and deliverables</li> <li>▪ Recommendations as appropriate</li> </ul>	[ Y ]	All aspects were adequately covered
	c. All required annexes	[ Y ]	Annexes include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Case study reports</li> <li>- Country fiches</li> <li>- 1 leaflet</li> <li>- 1 PPT presentation</li> <li>- Users Manuals for the database</li> </ul>
3. Data collection	Check that data is accurate and complete		
	a. Data is accurate <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Data is free from factual and logical errors</li> <li>▪ The report is consistent, i.e. no contradictions</li> <li>▪ Calculations are correct</li> </ul>	[ Y ]	
	b. Data is complete	[ Y ]	A particular asset of

CHECKLIST – Quality Assessment for Final Evaluation Reports

Objective of the assessment	Aspects to be assessed	Fulfilled? Y, N, N/A	Comments
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Relevant literature and previous studies have been sufficiently reviewed</li> <li>▪ Existing monitoring data has been appropriately used</li> <li>▪ Limitations to the data retrieved are pointed out and explained.</li> <li>▪ Correcting measures have been taken to address any problems encountered in the process of data gathering</li> </ul>		this Pilot project is the delivery of a database of IPM practices consisting of 1. Inventory of “IPM crop-specific guidelines pursuant to Directive 2009/128/EC and 2. Examples of best practices
4. Analysis and judgments	<b>Check that analysis is sound and relevant</b>		
	a. Analytical framework is sound	[ Y ]	A particular asset of this Pilot Project is the description of the main drivers and barriers for the uptake by farmers of IPM practices.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ The methodology used for each area of analysis is clearly explained, and has been applied consistently and as planned</li> <li>▪ Judgements are based on transparent criteria</li> <li>▪ The analysis relies on two or more independent lines of evidence</li> <li>▪ Inputs from different stakeholders are used in a balanced way</li> <li>▪ Findings are reliable enough to be replicable</li> </ul>		
b. Conclusions are sound	[ Y ]	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Conclusions are properly addressing the evaluation questions and are coherently and logically substantiated</li> <li>▪ There are no relevant conclusions missing according to the evidence presented</li> <li>▪ Findings corroborate existing knowledge; differences or contradictions with existing knowledge are explained</li> <li>▪ Critical issues are presented in a fair and balanced manner</li> <li>▪ Limitations on validity of the conclusions are pointed out</li> </ul>	
5. Usefulness of recommendations	a. Recommendations are useful	[ Y ]	Findings and conclusions are particularly useful in the context of the revision of the legislation for the sustainable use of pesticides. However due to the current revision of the basic legislation, no recommendations were to be made.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Recommendations flow logically from the conclusions, are practical, realistic, and addressed to the relevant Commission Service(s) or other stakeholders</li> </ul>		
	b. Recommendations are complete	[ Y ]	

CHECKLIST – Quality Assessment for Final Evaluation Reports

Objective of the assessment	Aspects to be assessed	Fulfilled? Y, N, N/A	Comments
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Recommendations cover all relevant main conclusions</li> </ul>		
6. Clarity of the report	a. Report is easy to read	[ Y ]	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Written style and presentation is adapted for the various relevant target readers</li> <li>▪ The quality of language is sufficient for publishing</li> <li>▪ Specific terminology is clearly defined</li> <li>▪ Tables, graphs, and similar presentation tools are used to facilitate understanding; they are well commented with narrative text</li> </ul>		
	b. Report is logical and focused	[ Y ]	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ The structure of the report is logical and consistent, information is not unjustifiably duplicated, and it is easy to get an overview of the report and its key results.</li> <li>▪ The report provides a proper focus on main issues and key messages are summarised and highlighted</li> <li>▪ The length of the report (excluded appendices) is proportionate (good balance of descriptive and analytical information)</li> <li>▪ Detailed information and technical analysis are left for the appendix; thus information overload is avoided in the main report</li> </ul>		

Overall conclusion		
The report could be approved in its current state, as it overall complies with the contractual conditions and relevant professional evaluation standards	[Y ]	