



Brussels,  
SM/dgt agri.ddg2.c.4 (2012) 1743660

**STUDY: "STUDY ON MEASURING WATER CONTENT IN POULTRYMEAT"**

**Evaluation Sheet**

Concerning these criteria, the evaluation report is :	Unacceptable	Poor	Satisfactory	Very Good	Excellent
<b>1. Meeting the needs:</b> Does the study adequately address the information needs of the commissioning body and fit the terms of reference?				X	
<b>2. Relevant scope:</b> Are the necessary policy instruments represented and is the product and geographical coverage as well as time scope sufficient for the impact assessment?				X	
<b>3. Defensible design:</b> Is the applied methodology appropriate and adequate to ensure a clear and credible result?				X	
<b>4. Reliable data:</b> To what extent is the selected quantitative and qualitative information adequate?				X	
<b>5. Sound analysis:</b> Is the quantitative and qualitative information appropriately and systematically analysed and have the respective tasks been correctly fulfilled?				X	
<b>6. Validity of the conclusions:</b> Does the report provide clear conclusions? Are the conclusions based on credible information?				X	
<b>7. Clearly reported:</b> Does the report clearly describe the problem, the procedures and findings of the evaluation, so that information provided can easily be understood?				X	
<b>Taking into account the contextual constraints of the study, the overall quality rating of the report is:</b>				X	

G:\D\_Study\D85\_Water\_in\_poultry\_meat\DT\_Dossier Technique\5\_Diffusion des résultats\S\_1743660\_Contract 35-CE-0460798-01-69\_EVALUATION\_GRID.docx

## JUSTIFICATION FOR THE EVALUATION

<b>1. Meeting the needs:</b> The contractor has met the information needs identified in the Terms of References (ToR).
<b>2. Relevant scope:</b> The study covers the scope as indicated in the ToR.
<b>3. Defensible design:</b> The standard operating procedure for sample collections, the protocol for sampling, the protocol for sampling homogenisation for calculating the physiological water content are sound and reflect the current state of the art and good laboratory practices as well as the International Standards. The questionnaire for collecting results, the stratifications of results and the statistical elaboration are adequate for getting and evaluating the outcomes.
<b>4. Reliable data:</b> The study provides data obtained according to the international standards.
<b>5. Sound analysis:</b> The statistical evaluation of the results provides clear indications.
<b>6. Validity of the conclusions:</b> The conclusions are coherent with the results and the statistical evaluation.
<b>7. Clearly reported:</b> Overall the report is written in an understandable language, without redundancies and can be considered very good.

Stefania MARRONE

(Technical Manager)

Copy to: L. Carazo Jimenez; L. Maier; M. Garcia Navarro.