## **Quality Assessment for Study on CAP Measures and Instruments Promoting Animal Welfare and Reduction of Antimicrobials Use**

Quality	Assessment	for	<b>Final</b>	Report

**DG/Unit** AGRI D2

Assessment carried out by(\*):

Steering group X

Evaluation Function X

Other (please specify)

(\*) Multiple crosses possible

**Date of assessment** 11 January 2022

<b>Objective</b> of the	Aspects to be assessed	Fulfilled?	Comments	
assessment		Y, N, N/A		
1. Scope of evaluation	contractor:			
	a. Has addressed the evaluation issues and specific questions	Y		
	b. Has undertaken the tasks described in the work plan	Y		
	c. Has covered the requested scope for time period, geographical areas, target groups, aspects of the intervention, etc.	Y	The study covers the entire EU. Indepth analyses of specific sectors and the practices implemented by farmers were carried out in 11 case-studies  Member States: DK, DE, EE, ES, FR, IT, NL, AT, PL, RO and SE. The study adequately responds to the information needs and meets the requirements of the terms of reference.	
2. Overall contents	Check that the report includes:			
of report	a. Executive Summary according to an agreed format, in the two languages (EN and FR)	Y		
	<ul><li>b. Main report with required components</li><li>Title and Content Page</li></ul>	Y		
	<ul> <li>A description of the policy being evaluated, its context, the purpose of the evaluation, contextual limitations, methodology, etc.</li> <li>Findings, conclusions, and judgments for all evaluation issues and specific questions</li> <li>The required outputs and deliverables</li> <li>Recommendations as appropriate</li> </ul>			
	c. All required annexes	Y	Literature review in Annex	
3. Data collection	Check that data is accurate and complete	2		
	a. Data is accurate	Y		

Objective of the assessment	Aspects to be assessed	Fulfilled? Y, N, N/A	Comments
ussessment	<ul> <li>Data is free from factual and logical errors</li> <li>The report is consistent, i.e. no contradictions</li> <li>Calculations are correct</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>b. Data is complete</li> <li>Relevant literature and previous studie sufficiently reviewed</li> <li>Existing monitoring data has been approp</li> <li>Limitations to the data retrieved are poi explained.</li> <li>Correcting measures have been taken to problems encountered in the process of data</li> </ul>	riately used nted out and address any	The contractor has exploited adequately the available data sources.
4. Analysis and judgments	<ul> <li>Check that analysis is sound and relevanta.</li> <li>Analytical framework is sound</li> <li>The methodology used for each area of clearly explained, and has been applied and as planned</li> <li>Judgements are based on transparent crite</li> <li>The analysis relies on two or more independence</li> <li>Inputs from different stakeholders are balanced way</li> <li>Findings are reliable enough to be replicated</li> </ul>	f analysis is consistently ria pendent lines e used in a	The analytical frame is sound. The methodology combines theoretical and empirical approaches and includes a variety of tools, both quantitative and qualitative, to address the different types of analysis that are required to respond to the study questions.
	<ul> <li>Conclusions are sound</li> <li>Conclusions are properly addressing the questions and are coherently and substantiated</li> <li>There are no relevant conclusions missing to the evidence presented</li> <li>Findings corroborate existing knowledges or contradictions with existing knowledges or contradictions.</li> </ul>	e properly addressing the evaluation are coherently and logically elevant conclusions missing according presented properly presented by the existing knowledge; differences ons with existing knowledge are are presented in a fair and balanced	

Objective of the assessment	Aspects to be assessed	Fulfilled? Y, N, N/A	Comments
			findings, which in turn were drawn from the sound analysis. Given the data constraints, they are suitable and well-judged.
5.Usefulness of recommendations	<ul> <li>Recommendations are useful</li> <li>Recommendations flow logically conclusions, are practical, realistic, and the relevant Commission Service(s) stakeholders</li> </ul>		Recommendations are based on the study conclusions. They represent the views of the contractor and are not binding for the Commission services.
	<ul> <li>Recommendations are complete</li> <li>Recommendations cover all relevant main</li> </ul>	Y a conclusions	Given the scoring system proposed, the contractor could not design an impact indicator on animal welfare as requested but provided solid ground to further develop the work in this field.
6. Clarity of the	a. Report is easy to read	Y	
report	<ul><li>Specific terminology is clearly defined</li><li>Tables, graphs, and similar presentation to</li></ul>	arious relevant target readers the quality of language is sufficient for publishing pecific terminology is clearly defined tables, graphs, and similar presentation tools are used to facilitate understanding; they are well commented with narrative text	

Objective of the	Aspects to be assessed	Fulfilled?	Comments
assessment		Y, N, N/A	
	<ul> <li>The structure of the report is logical an information is not unjustifiably duplicate easy to get an overview of the report results.</li> <li>The report provides a proper focus on makey messages are summarised and highlig</li> <li>The length of the report (excluded approportionate (good balance of descanalytical information)</li> <li>Detailed information and technical analyst the appendix; thus information overload the main report</li> </ul>	d consistent, ed, and it is and its key in issues and thted opendices) is criptive and its are left for	Due to the large amount of information gathered and the complexity of the study subject, the report justifiably exceeded the requested length.

Overall conclusion		
The report could be approved in its current state, as it overall complies with the contractual conditions and	Y	
relevant professional evaluation standards		