



Brussels, 19 July 2013
SM/vm agri.ddg2.c.4 (2013)

STUDY: "STUDY ON INTER-LABORATORY VALIDATION OF A METHOD FOR DETECTING PREVIOUSLY FROZEN POULTRYMEAT BY DETERMINATION OF HADH ACTIVITY. (AGRI-2012-C4-05)"

Evaluation Sheet

Concerning these criteria, the evaluation report is:	Unacceptable	Poor	Satisfactory	Very Good	Excellent
1. Meeting the needs: Does the study adequately address the information needs of the commissioning body and fit the terms of reference?				X	
2. Relevant scope: Are the necessary policy instruments represented and is the product and geographical coverage as well as time scope sufficient for the impact assessment?				X	
3. Defensible design: Is the applied methodology appropriate and adequate to ensure a clear and credible result?				X	
4. Reliable data: To what extent is the selected quantitative and qualitative information adequate?				X	
5. Sound analysis: Is the quantitative and qualitative information appropriately and systematically analysed and have the respective tasks been correctly fulfilled?				X	
6. Validity of the conclusions: Does the report provide clear conclusions? Are the conclusions based on credible information?				X	
7. Clearly reported: Does the report clearly describe the problem, the procedures and findings of the evaluation, so that information provided can easily be understood?				X	
Taking into account the contextual constraints of the study, the overall quality rating of the report is:				X	

C:\Users\spycpka\AppData\Local\Microsoft\Windows\Temporary Internet Files\Content.Outlook\91VAI84C\S__ContractAGRI-2012-C4-05_EVALUATION_GRID(ABe).docx

JUSTIFICATION FOR THE EVALUATION

1. Meeting the needs: The contractor has met the information needs identified in the Terms of References (ToR).
2. Relevant scope: The study covers the scope as indicated in the ToR.
3. Defensible design: The standard operating procedure for the determination of the HADH activity for the detection of previously frozen poultrymeat is sound and reflects the current state-of-the-art as well as good laboratory practices.
4. Reliable data: The study provides data obtained according to a sound methodology.
5. Sound analysis: The statistical data evaluation is adequate and was performed following international standards.
6. Validity of the conclusions: The conclusions are coherent with the results and the statistical evaluation.
7. Clearly reported: Overall, the report is written in a clear and comprehensive manner, without redundancies and can be considered very good.

Stefania MARRONE

(Technical Manager) (signed)

Copy to: L. Carazo Jimenez; A. Loncke; M. Garcia Navarro.