## **Quality Assessment for Final Study Reports**

According to the Commission **Better Regulation Guidelines and toolbox** the Quality Assessment (QA) by the Inter Service Group judges the external contractor's report and its overall process. It is the final "sign off" by the ISG of the contractor's work and includes a judgement on whether key aspects of the work conducted meet the required standards and provides any related comments.

If the evaluation is selected for review by the Regulatory Scrutiny Board, this QA and minutes of the last ISG meeting will form part of the package submitted to the RSB.

In compliance with the above, this documents provides a Quality Assessment checklist to be completed for all interim and ex-post evaluations, in order to:

- give a structured feedback to the Evaluator on the draft report, and
- support and justify the approval of the final version of the report.
- Provide stakeholders and citizens with an overview of the strengths and weaknesses of the evaluation.

The assessment criteria included should be applied also with reference to the specific Terms of Reference for the evaluation to be assessed and specific agreements made between the evaluation Steering Group and the Evaluator during the execution of the contract.

The checklist can be quickly filled out by ticking boxes, but becomes most useful when also including comments in the open fields.

## Quality Assessment for Final Report Study on the ENRD and the NRNs' contribution to the implementation of EU Rural Development policy DG/Unit D1 (only name of unit – no name of persons as regards personal data protection) Assessment carried out by(\*\*): Steering group [X] Evaluation Function [ ] Other (please specify) [ ] (\*) Multiple crosses possible Date of assessment 18 April 2023 ad-hoc ISG meeting

Objective of the assessment	Aspects to be assessed	Fulfilled? Y, N, N/A	Comments
1. Scope of evaluation	Confirm with the Terms of Reference contractor:		work plan that the
	a. Has addressed the evaluation issues and specific questions	[Y]	
	b. Has undertaken the tasks described in the work plan	[Y]	The study manager checked that all the tasks included in the interim and final deliverable were duly executed
	c. Has covered the requested scope for time period, geographical areas, target groups, aspects of the intervention, etc.	[Y]	The geographical coverage was the whole EU plus UK. Seven Member States (AT, BE-WA, EE, FR, IT, SK, SL) were selected as case studies through a clustering exercise agreed by the Steering Group, grouping together networks with a comparable structure and operational set-up. The case studies supported the analysis and judging phase within the triangulation of sources and approaches to better reply to the evaluation questions.
2. Overall contents	Check that the report includes:		

Study on the ENRD and the NRNs' contribution to the implementation of EU RD policy

Objective of the	Aspects to be assessed	Fulfilled?	Comments
assessment	Aspects to be assessed	Y, N, N/A	Comments
of report	a. Executive Summary according to an agreed format, in the three required languages (minimum EN and FR)	[Y]	In two languages, EN & FR, as it was planned in the Terms of Reference and the contract
	<ul> <li>b. Main report with required components</li> <li>Title and Content Page</li> <li>A description of the policy being excontext, the purpose of the evaluation limitations, methodology, etc.</li> <li>Findings, conclusions, and judgment evaluation issues and specific questions</li> <li>The required outputs and deliverables</li> <li>Recommendations as appropriate</li> </ul>	n, contextual	The content of the final report is as planned in the Terms of Reference and the contract.
	c. All required annexes	[ N/A ]	No annex required
3. Data collection	<ul> <li>Check that data is accurate and complete</li> <li>a. Data is free from factual and logical errors</li> <li>The report is consistent, i.e. no contradiction</li> <li>Calculations are correct</li> <li>b. Data is complete</li> <li>Relevant literature and previous studies sufficiently reviewed</li> <li>Existing monitoring data has been approp</li> <li>Limitations to the data retrieved are point explained.</li> <li>Correcting measures have been taken to problems encountered in the process of data</li> </ul>	[Y] sions  [Y] s have been riately used nted out and address any	The ISG acknowledged the limitations in data availability and reliability as explained by the evaluator. Remedial actions were undertaken through triangulation of sources of information and approaches (literature and documentary review, data analysis, surveys, case studies, interviews, and focus groups). The analysis and conclusions are as accurate as possible due to those limitations.  Same as previous comment
4. Analysis and	Check that analysis is sound and relevan	t	
4. Analysis and	Check that analysis is sound and relevan	ıt	

Study on the ENRD and the NRNs' contribution to the implementation of EU RD policy

<b>Objective of the</b>	Aspects to be assessed	Fulfilled?	Comments	
assessment		Y, N, N/A		
judgments	<ul> <li>a. Analytical framework is sound [Y]</li> <li>The methodology used for each area of analysis is clearly explained, and has been applied consistently and as planned</li> <li>Judgements are based on transparent criteria</li> <li>The analysis relies on two or more independent lines of evidence</li> <li>Inputs from different stakeholders are used in a balanced way</li> <li>Findings are reliable enough to be replicable</li> <li>b. Conclusions are sound [Y]</li> <li>Conclusions are properly addressing the evaluation questions and are coherently and logically substantiated</li> <li>There are no relevant conclusions missing according to the evidence presented</li> </ul>		The methodology and data collection used are clearly described in a dedicated section of the final report.	
5.Usefulness of	or contradictions with existing knows explained  Critical issues are presented in a fair a manner	Critical issues are presented in a fair and balanced nanner imitations on validity of the conclusions are pointed ut		
recommendations	Recommendations are useful [1]  Recommendations flow logically from the conclusions, are practical, realistic, and addressed to the relevant Commission Service(s) or other stakeholders		The findings and recommendations are useful, especially for future national CAP networks (successors of the NRNs in the CAP 2023-2027) and the Commission services dealing with the EU CAP Network	
	<ul> <li>b. Recommendations are complete</li> <li>Recommendations cover all relevant main</li> </ul>	[ Y ]		
6 Clarity of the		[ Y ]	The report is long but	
6. Clarity of the report	<ul> <li>a. Report is easy to read</li> <li>Written style and presentation is aday various relevant target readers</li> <li>The quality of language is sufficient for p</li> <li>Specific terminology is clearly defined</li> <li>Tables, graphs, and similar presentation t to facilitate understanding; they are well with narrative text</li> </ul>	pted for the ublishing ools are used	easy to read in terms of written style and language used. However, given the complexity of the subject, and notwithstanding the fact that all terminology is defined, some parts may be difficult to fully understand for readers not familiar with networking. See	

Study on the ENRD and the NRNs' contribution to the implementation of EU RD policy

Objective of the	Aspects to be assessed	Fulfilled?	Comments
assessment		Y, N, N/A	
			also subsequent comment.
	<ul> <li>b. Report is logical and focused</li> <li>The structure of the report is logical an information is not unjustifiably duplicate easy to get an overview of the report results.</li> <li>The report provides a proper focus on makey messages are summarised and highlige</li> <li>The length of the report (excluded approportionate (good balance of descanalytical information)</li> <li>Detailed information and technical analysis the appendix; thus information overload the main report</li> </ul>	ed, and it is and its key in issues and thed opendices) is criptive and its are left for	The final report reflects the effort to describe the complexity of the subject without losing clarity. The nature of the subject did not allow for shorter executive summary. The leaflet provides more succinct information.

Overall conclusion		
The report could be approved in its current state, as it	[Y ]	
overall complies with the contractual conditions and		
relevant professional evaluation standards		