Quality Assessment for Evaluation Final Report		
DG/Unit	AGRI C.4	
Official(s) managing the evaluation:	Stefano Cinti	
Evaluator:	ADE SA	
Assessment carried out by(*):		
Steering group	[x]	
Evaluation Function	[]	
Other (please specify)	[]	
(*) Multiple crosses possible		
Date of assessment	24.11.2020	

CHECKLIST – Quality Assessment for Final Evaluation Reports

	CHECKLIST – Quality Assessment for Final Evaluation Reports				
Objective of the	Aspects to be assessed	Fulfilled?	Comments		
assessment		Y, N, N/A			
1. Scope of	Confirm with the Terms of Reference	e and the	work plan that the		
evaluation	contractor:				
	a. Has addressed the evaluation issues	Y			
	and specific questions				
	b. Has undertaken the tasks described	Y			
	in the work plan				
	c. Has covered the requested scope for	Y	The evaluation		
	time period, geographical areas,		covers the		
	target groups, aspects of the		requested scope		
	intervention, etc.				
2. Overall contents	Check that the report includes:				
of report	a. Executive Summary according to an	Y	Executive		
	agreed format, in the three required		summary in EN		
	languages (minimum EN and FR)	.	and FR		
	b. Main report with required	Y			
	components				
	Title and Content Page	valvatad ita			
	 A description of the policy being even context, the purpose of the evaluation 				
	limitations, methodology, etc.				
	 Findings, conclusions, and judgme 				
	evaluation issues and specific question				
	 The required outputs and deliverables 				
	 Recommendations as appropriate 				
	c. All required annexes	Y			
3. Data collection	Check that data is accurate and complete				
	a. Data is accurate	Y			
	 Data is free from factual and logical en 	rrors			
	• The report is consistent, i.e. no contract				
	 Calculations are correct 				
	b. Data is complete	Y	The data collected		
	■ Relevant literature and previous st	are fit for the			
	been sufficiently reviewed	purpose of this			
	 Existing monitoring data has been a 	evaluation and the			
	used	relevant limitation			
	 Limitations to the data retrieved are 	are well explained.			
	and explained.	Main issues were			
	Correcting measures have been taken	overcome by			
	any problems encountered in the pro	applying corrective			
	gathering measures.				
			Literature sources		
			are comprehensive.		

CHECKLIST – Quality Assessment for Final Evaluation Reports

4. Analysis and	Check that analysis is sound and relevant		
judgments	 a. Analytical framework is sound The methodology used for each area of analysis is clearly explained, and has been applied consistently and as planned Judgements are based on transparent criteria The analysis relies on two or more independent lines of evidence Inputs from different stakeholders are used in a balanced way Findings are reliable enough to be replicable 		The analytical framework is sound. The methodology used and the contribution of the different methods to each ESQ and the relevant socio-economic aspects addressed are clearly explained. On the basis of a theoretical analysis, quantitative and qualitative analysis have been carried out, with a prevalence of the second kind of analysis. Lack of a counterfactual analysis does not allow to have clear proof of causal chains. Cluster analyses, the correlation and regression analyses incorporate a territorial dimension and provide insight into relationship. As regards the regression analysis the fact that the dependent and explanatory variables are measured in the same time-period may introduce endogeneity issues.
	b. Conclusions are sound	Y	Conclusions are sound and the
5.Usefulness of recommendations	 Conclusions are properly addressing evaluation questions and are coherently logically substantiated There are no relevant conclusions miss according to the evidence presented Findings corroborate existing knowled differences or contradictions with exist knowledge are explained Critical issues are presented in a fair balanced manner Limitations on validity of the conclusi are pointed out a. Recommendations are useful Recommendations, are practical, realistic, addressed to the relevant Commiss 	and sing lige; ting and lions Y the and	relevant limitations are pointed out.
	Service(s) or other stakeholders		
	 b. Recommendations are complete Recommendations cover all relevant moderations 	Y nain	

6. Clarity of the	a. Report is easy to read	Y	Overall readability is
report	 Written style and presentation is adapted for the various relevant target readers The quality of language is sufficient for publishing Specific terminology is clearly defined Tables, graphs, and similar presentation tools are used to facilitate understanding; they are well commented with narrative text 		affected by the complexity and technicality of the subject. However, tables and graphs are used to present results and, when necessary, are accompanied by explanations. The terminology used is also explained.
	b. Report is logical and focused	Y	The structure of the
	 The structure of the report is logical and consistent, information is not unjustifiably duplicated, and it is easy to get an overview of the report and its key results. The report provides a proper focus on main issues and key messages are summarised and 		report is logical. The sequence followed is clearly described to guide the reader.
	highlighted	111	The results of this
	 The length of the report (exappendices) is proportionate (good bald descriptive and analytical information) Detailed information and technical are left for the appendix; thus information overload is avoided in the main report 	analysis	support study are interesting and constitute a basis towards future evaluations on this subject.

Overall conclusion			
The report could be approved in its current state, as it overall	Y		
complies with the contractual conditions and relevant			
professional evaluation standards			