

Quality Assessment for the Evaluation Study on the impact of CAP measures towards the general objective "viable food production" - Final Report

DG/Unit	DG AGRI C4
Official(s) managing the evaluation:	Andre KOLODZIEJAK
Evaluator:	Agrosynergie
Assessment carried out by^(*):	
Steering group	X
Evaluation Function	X
Other (please specify)	
(*) Multiple crosses possible	
Date of assessment	6/9/2018

CHECKLIST – Quality Assessment for Final Evaluation Reports

Objective of the assessment	Aspects to be assessed	Fulfilled? Y, N, N/A	Comments
1. Scope of evaluation	Confirm with the Terms of Reference and the work plan that the contractor :		
	a. Has addressed the evaluation issues and specific questions	Y	
	b. Has undertaken the tasks described in the work plan	Y	The evaluation adequately responds to the information needs of the commissioning body and meets the requirements of the terms of reference.
	c. Has covered the requested scope for time period, geographical areas, target groups, aspects of the intervention, etc.	Y	
2. Overall contents of report	Check that the report includes:		
	a. Executive Summary according to an agreed format, in the three required languages (minimum EN and FR)	Y	
	b. Main report with required components <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Title and Content Page ▪ A description of the policy being evaluated, its context, the purpose of the evaluation, contextual limitations, methodology, etc. ▪ Findings, conclusions, and judgments for all evaluation issues and specific questions ▪ The required outputs and deliverables ▪ Recommendations as appropriate 	Y	
	c. All required annexes	Y	
3. Data collection	Check that data is accurate and complete		
	a. Data is accurate	Y	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Data is free from factual and logical errors ▪ The report is consistent, i.e. no contradictions ▪ Calculations are correct 		

CHECKLIST – Quality Assessment for Final Evaluation Reports

Objective of the assessment	Aspects to be assessed	Fulfilled? Y, N, N/A	Comments
	b. Data is complete	Y	<p>The evaluators have exploited the available data sources. The limitations of analysis related to the availability of accurate, detailed and homogenous data and the particularities of the FADN system are clearly explained and in principle stem from the legal obligation to carry out the evaluation without consideration to data availability. The contractor even carried out a revision of calculations when new FADN data came in after the Evaluation Question 1 had already been answered. The period for which FADN data were available was very limited. The contractor cross-checked his use of trade data on the request of the Steering Group.</p>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Relevant literature and previous studies have been sufficiently reviewed ▪ Existing monitoring data has been appropriately used ▪ Limitations to the data retrieved are pointed out and explained. ▪ Correcting measures have been taken to address any problems encountered in the process of data gathering 		

CHECKLIST – Quality Assessment for Final Evaluation Reports

Objective of the assessment	Aspects to be assessed	Fulfilled? Y, N, N/A	Comments
4. Analysis and judgments	Check that analysis is sound and relevant		
	a. Analytical framework is sound	Y	The analytical framework is sound, but conditioned by the aforementioned limitations. The methodological approach combines theoretical and empirical approaches and includes a variety of methods, both quantitative and qualitative, to address the different types of analysis that are required to respond to the evaluation questions. The econometric analysis of the impact of aid on income is very appreciated. Where judgements rely on professional judgement, this is carried out by checking for consistency across multiple sources.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ The methodology used for each area of analysis is clearly explained, and has been applied consistently and as planned ▪ Judgements are based on transparent criteria ▪ The analysis relies on two or more independent lines of evidence ▪ Inputs from different stakeholders are used in a balanced way ▪ Findings are reliable enough to be replicable 		

CHECKLIST – Quality Assessment for Final Evaluation Reports

Objective of the assessment	Aspects to be assessed	Fulfilled? Y, N, N/A	Comments
	b. Conclusions are sound	Y	The findings are based on clearly defined evaluation criteria and supported by the evidence provided through the analysis. Opinions from the stakeholders were considered in a balanced way and presented in a transparent way. The conclusions are substantiated by evaluation findings, which in turn were drawn from the sound analysis. Given the data constraints, they are balanced and prudent. However, the conclusions require careful interpretation given the aforementioned limitations.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Conclusions are properly addressing the evaluation questions and are coherently and logically substantiated ▪ There are no relevant conclusions missing according to the evidence presented ▪ Findings corroborate existing knowledge; differences or contradictions with existing knowledge are explained ▪ Critical issues are presented in a fair and balanced manner ▪ Limitations on validity of the conclusions are pointed out 		
5. Usefulness of recommendations	a. Recommendations are useful	Y	Recommendations are based on the evaluation conclusions and should be considered accordingly for improving CAP policies
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Recommendations flow logically from the conclusions, are practical, realistic, and addressed to the relevant Commission Service(s) or other stakeholders 		
	b. Recommendations are complete	Y	
	a. Report is easy to read	Y	

CHECKLIST – Quality Assessment for Final Evaluation Reports

Objective of the assessment	Aspects to be assessed	Fulfilled? Y, N, N/A	Comments
report	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Written style and presentation is adapted for the various relevant target readers ▪ The quality of language is sufficient for publishing ▪ Specific terminology is clearly defined ▪ Tables, graphs, and similar presentation tools are used to facilitate understanding; they are well commented with narrative text 		
	b. Report is logical and focused	Y	The structure of the report is logical and consistent, key messages are highlighted and summarised, detailed information is left for the appendix, the main report text remains lengthy.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ The structure of the report is logical and consistent, information is not unjustifiably duplicated, and it is easy to get an overview of the report and its key results. ▪ The report provides a proper focus on main issues and key messages are summarised and highlighted ▪ The length of the report (excluded appendices) is proportionate (good balance of descriptive and analytical information) ▪ Detailed information and technical analysis are left for the appendix; thus information overload is avoided in the main report 		

Overall conclusion		
The report could be approved in its current state, as it overall complies with the contractual conditions and relevant professional evaluation standards	Y	When complemented with more recent observations and complementary analysis, the support study provides a good basis for the staff working document concluding the evaluation of the impact of the CAP measures towards the general objective ‘viable food production’.