## Quality Assessment for the Evaluation Study of the CAP measures applicable to the wine sector - Final Report

**DG/Unit** DG AGRI C4

Official(s) managing the evaluation: Andre KOLODZIEJAK

**Evaluator**: Agrosynergie EEIG

Assessment carried out by(\*):

Other (please specify)

(\*) Multiple crosses possible

Objective of the	Aspects to be assessed	Fulfilled?	Comments
assessment		Y, N, N/A	
1. Scope of	Confirm with the Terms of Reference	work plan that the	
evaluation	contractor:		
	a. Has addressed the evaluation	Y	
	issues and specific questions  b. Has undertaken the tasks described	Y	The evaluation
	in the work plan	1	adequately
	in the work plan		responds to the
			information needs
			of the
			commissioning
			body and meets
			the requirements
			of the terms of
	a Has acrossed the manuscred scene	Y	reference.
	c. Has covered the requested scope for time period, geographical areas,	1	
	target groups, aspects of the		
	intervention, etc.		
2. Overall contents	Check that the report includes:		
of report	a. Executive Summary according to	Y	
	an agreed format, in the required		
	languages (minimum EN and FR)	***	
	b. Main report with required	Y	
	<ul><li>components</li><li>Title and Content Page</li></ul>		-
	• A description of the policy being e	valuated, its	
	context, the purpose of the evaluation	n, contextual	
	limitations, methodology, etc.	sta for all	
	<ul> <li>Findings, conclusions, and judgment evaluation issues and specific questions</li> </ul>	nts for all	
	The required outputs and deliverables		
	<ul> <li>Recommendations as appropriate</li> </ul>		
	c. All required annexes	Y	
3. Data collection	Check that data is accurate and complete	2	
	a. Data is accurate	Y	
	<ul> <li>Data is free from factual and logical errors</li> <li>The report is consistent, i.e. no contradictions</li> </ul>		
	<ul><li>The report is consistent, i.e. no contradict</li><li>Calculations are correct</li></ul>	IOHS	
		Y	The eveluators
	b. Data is complete	1	The evaluators

CHECKLIST – Quality Assessment for Final Evaluation Reports

Objective of the	A spects to be assessed	Fulfilled?	Comments
Objective of the assessment	Aspects to be assessed	Y, N, N/A	Comments
assessment	<ul> <li>Relevant literature and previous studies have been sufficiently reviewed</li> <li>Existing monitoring data has been appropriately used</li> <li>Limitations to the data retrieved are pointed out and explained.</li> <li>Correcting measures have been taken to address any problems encountered in the process of data gathering</li> </ul>		have exploited the available data sources.
4. Analysis and	Check that analysis is sound and relevan		
judgments	<ul> <li>a. Analytical framework is sound</li> <li>The methodology used for each area of clearly explained, and has been applied and as planned</li> <li>Judgements are based on transparent crite</li> <li>The analysis relies on two or more indeported of evidence</li> <li>Inputs from different stakeholders are balanced way</li> <li>Findings are reliable enough to be replicated.</li> </ul>	consistently ria pendent lines e used in a ble	The analytical frame is sound. The methodological approach combines theoretical and empirical approaches and includes a variety of methods, both quantitative and qualitative, to address the different types of analysis that are required to respond to the ESQs.
	<ul> <li>Conclusions are sound</li> <li>Conclusions are properly addressing the questions and are coherently and substantiated</li> <li>There are no relevant conclusions missing to the evidence presented</li> <li>Findings corroborate existing knowledges or contradictions with existing knowledges or contradictions with existing knowledges or contradictions with existing knowledges or contradictions on the explained</li> <li>Critical issues are presented in a fair a manner</li> <li>Limitations on validity of the conclusion out</li> </ul>	d logically ng according e; differences owledge are and balanced	The findings are based on clearly defined evaluation criteria and supported by the evidence provided through the analysis. Opinions from the stakeholders were considered in a balanced way and presented in a transparent way. The conclusions are substantiated by evaluation findings, which in turn were drawn

CHECKLIST – Quality Assessment for Final Evaluation Reports

Ohio otica a Cal	CHECKLIST – Quality Asse		
Objective of the assessment	Aspects to be assessed	Fulfilled? Y, N, N/A	Comments
			from the sound analysis. Given the data constraints, they are balanced and prudent.
5.Usefulness of recommendations	<ul> <li>a. Recommendations are useful</li> <li>Performance Recommendations flow logically from the conclusions, are practical, realistic, and addressed to the relevant Commission Service(s) or other stakeholders</li> </ul>		Recommendations are based on the evaluation conclusions. They represent the views of the contractor and are not bound for the Commission services.
	b. Recommendations are complete	Y	
	■ Recommendations cover all relevant main	n conclusions	
6. Clarity of the	a. Report is easy to read	Y	
report	<ul> <li>Written style and presentation is adapted for the various relevant target readers</li> <li>The quality of language is sufficient for publishing</li> <li>Specific terminology is clearly defined</li> <li>Tables, graphs, and similar presentation tools are used to facilitate understanding; they are well commented with narrative text</li> </ul>		
	b. Report is logical and focused	Y	The structure of
<ul> <li>The structure of the report is logical and consistent, information is not unjustifiably duplicated, and it is easy to get an overview of the report and its key results.</li> <li>The report provides a proper focus on main issues and key messages are summarised and highlighted</li> <li>The length of the report (excluded appendices) is proportionate (good balance of descriptive and analytical information)</li> <li>Detailed information and technical analysis are left for the appendix; thus information overload is avoided in the main report</li> </ul>		the report is logical and consistent, key messages are highlighted and summarised, detailed information is left for the appendix.	

Overall conclusion		
The report could be approved in its current state, as it	Y	
overall complies with the contractual conditions and		
relevant professional evaluation standards		