

EUROPEAN COMMISSION
DIRECTORATE-GENERAL FOR AGRICULTURE AND RURAL DEVELOPMENT

Directorate C. Economics of agricultural markets (and CMO  $^{\circ}$  C.4. Animal products

Brussels, 16 May 2007

## STUDY ON ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES OF SHEEP AND GOAT FARMING AND OF THE SHEEP AND GOAT PREMIUM SYSTEM

## **QUALITY GRID**

<b>Concerning these criteria, the evaluation report is:</b>	Unaccep-	Poor	Satisfac-	Good	Excel-
	table		tory		lent
<b>1. Meeting the needs</b> : Does the study adequately					
address the information needs of the commissioning				X	
body and fit the terms of reference?					
<b>2. Relevant scope</b> : Are the environmental reasons for					
the implementation of the rural development measures					
as well as the description of the context for their			$\mathbf{X}$		
application well examined, and the expected impacts					
fully analysed?					
<b>3. Defensible design</b> : Is the applied methodology					
appropriate and adequate to ensure a clear and credible			$\mathbf{X}$		
result?					
<b>4. Reliable data</b> : To what extent is the selected		X			
quantitative and qualitative information adequate?					
<b>5. Sound analysis</b> : Is the quantitative and qualitative					
information appropriately and systematically analysed			$\mathbf{X}$		
and have the respective tasks been correctly fulfilled?					
<b>6. Validity of the conclusions</b> : Does the report provide					
clear conclusions? Are the conclusions based on		X			
credible information?					
<b>7. Clearly reported</b> : Does the report clearly describe					
the expected impact of the rural development measures			X		
on three environmental objectives and can the					
information provided be easily understood?					
Taking into account the contextual constraints of the			X		
study, the overall quality rating of the report is:			<b>1</b>		